

TEAM Industries Quality Systems Assessment

Supplier:	Address:
Point of Contact:	Commodity:
Date:	
Auditor (s):	
Is the supplier certified or compliant to IATF 16949 or ISO 9001? YES NO	
Is the supplier using just-in-time (JIT) delivery? YES NO	
Is the supplier using first-in-first-out (FIFO) inventory systems? YES NO	
Is the supplier using Bar Code Label capability? YES NO	
Verify that the supplier can provide a TEAM compliant Bar Code Label: YES NO	
Has the supplier signed TEAM's Supplier Policy Manual? YES NO	

Management

	Last 6 months External PPM _____	Place the corresponding number in the appropriate blue cell to obtain a score for that question							Document validation in comments section
		weight	Evaluation points/rating*						
	On-Time Delivery Rate _____		5	4	3	2	1	Sub-Total	Comments
M1	Quality Management System: Has the organization established, documented, implemented and maintained a Quality Management System in accordance with the requirements of ISO 9001 and/or IATF 16949	3						0	
	Quality Policy: Is the Quality Policy known and understood throughout the organization?	1						0	
M2	9.3.1 Management Review of the Quality System: Are reviews conducted and documented at defined intervals to ensure continued effectiveness in satisfying the requirements of the stated quality policy and objectives? What goals and objectives does the supplier measure?	3						0	
	Quality/PPM Internal								
	Quality/PPM External								
	On-time Delivery								
	Process Capability								
	Turnover of employees								
	Assessments of manufacturing feasibility made for changes to existing operations and for new facilities or new product								
	Training								
	Customer satisfaction								
	Warranty Costs								
	Risk analysis methods								
	Premium Freight Costs								
M3	7.1.4 Environment: Does the workplace maintain its premises in a state of order, cleanliness and repair necessary for the operation of its processes and to achieve conformity of products and services?	2						0	
	Does the workplace exhibit adequate lighting, ergonomics, and safety?	2						0	

M5	Are environmental concerns managed effectively for special chemical or environmentally sensitive processes?	2						0	
6.1.	Risk Analysis/Contingency Plans: Does the organization have a documented Risk Assessment program where risks are identified and structured contingency plans are put in place that will ensure customer expectations are met in the event of an interruption of established processes?	3						0	
M6	Risk: Has the organization required sub-contractors or tier 2 suppliers to assess risk?								
	Does the organization have a Supplier Code of Conduct in place that complies with TEAM's?								
	Operational planning and control: Does the organization have planning and control of products, services and processes? (APQP)	3						0	
	Does the organization have control of planned changes and review the consequences of unintended changes?								
8.2	Customer orders and/or requests for quotes: Is feasibility analysis conducted by multi-disciplinary/cross-functional team to ensure that requirements are adequately defined and documented, differences in the requirements are resolved, and capacity is available, prior to the acceptance of the order?	3						0	
M7									
8.2	Customer Requirements: Are customer requirements and expectations communicated throughout the organization?	3						0	
M8									
8.2.2.1	Does the organization include recycling, environmental impact, any government, safety and environmental regulations and disposal in planning of requirements for Customer?	3						0	
M9									
8.2/10.2.1	Customer complaints: Are complaints handled effectively and are nonconformities reported within the organization?	3						0	
M10									
8.7	Corrective and Preventive Action procedures: Are procedures established and documented, implemented and maintained for elimination of the cause of actual or potential nonconformities? Does this comply with applicable customer-specified controls?	3						0	
M11									
8.7.1.3-5	Suspect, reworked, repaired product: Does the organization use risk analysis (such as FMEA) to assess risks prior to disposition of suspect, reworked or repaired product?	3						0	
M12									
8.7.1.6	Deviation and Concession procedure: Does procedure require customer approval?	2						0	
M13									

M14	8.5.4	Product in storage: Is it assessed at appropriate intervals to detect deterioration?	1						0	
M15	7.5.3	Quality Records: Are records maintained and controlled with retention defined?	2						0	
M16	9.2.1	Internal Quality Audits: Does the supplier have a documented, scheduled internal auditing process? This process shall include the entire quality management system with sensitivity to changes within the organization and prior audit review.	2						0	
M17	9.2.2	Internal Quality Audits: Are results documented and reported?	2						0	
M18	9.2.2	Internal Quality Audits: Are non-conformances documented and corrective actions verified?	2						0	
M19	7.2	Training: Are training needs identified?	3						0	
M20		Is training provided and documented for all personnel performing activities affecting quality? Does documentation include operator signoff?	3						0	
M21		Are training records/matrices sensitive to level of operator competence? (e.g. Trainee→Close supervision req.→Self-Sufficient→Capable Trainer)	2						0	
M22	10.3.1	Continuous Improvement: Is the continuous improvement philosophy fully deployed throughout the organization? What is the method used? Detail objectives, measurement, effectiveness; Is there a focus on the manufacturing process if applicable and reduction of waste?	3						0	
M23	8	APQP: Does the organization have a documented procedure? Verify examples of the application and management of the APQP process.	3						0	
M24	8.3.4	Design Control: When design control resides with the organization, are there documented procedures to ensure that Customer specified requirements are met? What is the method to monitor and validate?	3						0	

Procurement										
			Place the corresponding number in the appropriate blue cell to obtain a score for that question							
			weight	Evaluation points/rating*					Sub-Total	Comments
				5	4	3	2	1		
	8.4	Supplier Approval: Is there evidence that material is procured from approved suppliers? Is there a documented supplier approval process?	3						0	

P1		Are audits conducted to ensure that suppliers and sub-contractors have effective quality systems and capable processes to ensure that all products meet specifications?	3						0	
	P2	8.4	Purchased Product: Are specified requirements for purchased product and/or sub-contracted product clearly documented and communicated to suppliers and sub-contractors?	3					0	
			Are there documented procedures to ensure that purchased product conforms to specified requirements?	3					0	
	P3	8.4	Supplier Performance: Are suppliers and sub-contractors measured, evaluated and rated for performance of delivery, quality, premium freight and warranty? Are suppliers or sub-contractors measured for risk?	2					0	
		P4	8.4	Supplier Corrective Actions: Are corrective actions solicited from suppliers and sub-contractors when they do not meet requirements?	3					0
	P5	8.4	Product Control: Is product handled, packaged, stored, and shipped in a manner that will prevent damage or deterioration?	3					0	
	P6	8.4	Product Identification: Is product identifiable and traceable by suitable means from receipt through all stages of production, delivery, and installation?	3					0	
	P7	8.4	Incoming Product: Is incoming product inspected or otherwise verified as conforming to specified requirements prior to use?	3					0	
	P8	8.4	Material Certification: Are supplier material certifications maintained and controlled?	3					0	
P9	8.4	Part Qualification: Are supplier part qualifications requested, reviewed, approved and documented? (PQR, PPAP, FAIR, ISIR)	3					0		

Quality / Engineering

Q1			Place the corresponding number in the appropriate blue cell to obtain a score for that question					
	weight	Evaluation points/rating*					Sub-Total	Comments
		5	4	3	2	1		
8.5.6.1	Control of Changes/Documents: Are the most current drawings, change notices, and specifications in use by manufacturing, quality and engineering personnel at the appropriate production and inspection points?	2					0	
8.5.1.1	Process Control: Are processes that directly effect quality identified, documented by procedure, and carried out under controlled conditions?	3					0	

Q2	Does the FMEA identify special characteristics?							
	Are special characteristics identified in the control plans?							
	Are FMEA and control plans developed cross functionally?							
	Do control plans include set-up, manufacturing process controls, and inspection criteria?							
	Are first and last article inspections performed?							
	Do visual work instruction requirements match control plans?							
Q3	In-process Inspection: Is the identification of inspection and test status of product maintained throughout the process to ensure that only product that has passed the required inspections and tests is released?	3					0	
	Final Inspection: Are final inspection audits conducted to ensure compliance with customer	3					0	
Q4	Final Inspection: Are records maintained to provide evidence that product has been inspected, tested and clearly identified as pass or fail?	2					0	
	7.1.5.1.1 Statistical Process Control: Is SPC used for significant product characteristics and process parameters?	3					0	
Q6	7.1.5.3.1 Laboratory: Does the supplier have a lab, and if so, do they have a defined scope of capabilities to envelope adequate inspection and testing?	3					0	
Q7	8.5.1.5 Preventive Maintenance: Is a preventive maintenance program for equipment being utilized?*	3					0	
	*If IATF16949 certified, does the organization maintain a total productive maintenance system with maintenance objectives such as OEE (overall equipment effectiveness)?							
	Verify equipment PM schedule							
	Verify maintenance records for tools and machines							
	Verify tool life management program for perishable tooling							
	Verify reaction plans for unscheduled equipment maintenance?							
Q8	8.7 Non-conforming Product: Is non-conforming product identified, isolated and dispositioned in accordance with documented procedures by authorized personnel?	3					0	
	Validate control and disposal of set-up parts							
	Validate control and disposal of all rejected parts							
	Validate control of rework and re-inspection							

		Validate control and disposal of non-conforming product in Quarantine							
Q9	8.7	Corrective Actions: Does the organization maintain an active corrective action system that ensures visibility and traceability?	3						0
		Validate corrective action process meets requirements of SQA Manual	3						0
		Validate that process control documentation reflects the results of long term corrective action	3						0
Q10	7.1.5.2	Calibration: Is there an effective system to control, identify, calibrate, and maintain inspection, measuring, and test equipment?	3						0
		Are calibration standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) or appropriate international standards?	3						0
		Are Gage Repeatability and Reproducibility (GR&R) studies being performed?	2						0
Q11	10.2	Mistake Proofing: Does the organization employ mistake proofing techniques?	2						0
		Are Poka-Yokes verified for deterioration/effectiveness?							

Weight 3-Critical 2-Important 1-Preferred	Rating*	Total Points	0	Scaled Performance Rating	
	5 - Evidence validates deployment of a systematic process, resulting in continuous capability Improvement. World class; Industry leading innovation and results.			70%> - Acceptable	0.00%
	4 - Evidence validates consistent deployment of a systematic process, resulting in meeting business expectation.			60 - 69% - Marginal (see note)	
	3 - Evidence validates early stages of deployment or minor lapses of compliance.			< 60% - Unacceptable	
	2 - Evidence of random / Incomplete deployment, process improvements required to validate process.				
1 - No evidence of deployment of a systematic process.					

Note: TEAM Industries will only consider suppliers that score 70% or better. These scores are used as part of a total sourcing process that may additionally consist of an initial supplier profile, risk analysis, process audit, and qualification of supplied product and services. Scores lower than 70% overall or rated unsatisfactory(<3) on any individual element may be required to submit a formal response and corrective action addressing the inadequacies.

List the Core Competencies of the Supplier

--

Strengths

--

Areas for Improvement

--